



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/754,021	01/03/2001	Ingrid Perscky de Fabrega	U012900-8	2027
140	7590	06/10/2005	EXAMINER	
LADAS & PARRY 26 WEST 61ST STREET NEW YORK, NY 10023			HAVAN, THU THAO	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3624	

DATE MAILED: 06/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/754,021	DE FABREGA, INGRID PERSCKY
	Examiner Thu Thao Havan	Art Unit 3624

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 January 2001.
 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on 03 January 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/12/02.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
 6) Other: _____.

Detailed Action

Drawings

The Examiner accepts the drawings filed on January 3, 2001.

Specification

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. **It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited.** The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Jansen (US 6,243,450).

Re claim 1, Jansen teaches a public-access e-commerce service portal providing a user access to an internet (figs. 1-2 and 4), said portal comprising:

a computer including a display screen (fig. 1, element 16), a card reader adapted to read financial account information from a card (fig. 4, element 30), an input device adapted to provide alphanumeric and screen-coordinate selected by the user (fig. 4, element 33), a drive unit adapted to read data from and write data to a removable data storage medium (fig. 5, elements 162; fig. 8, element 218; col. 9, lines 28-35), and a printer unit (fig. 4, element 31), said computer being programmed to permit a user to selectively operate the units after said user enters a valid log-on ID (fig. 9, element 233; fig. 11, element 240; service and transaction ID correspond to a valid log-on ID), to establish a log-on ID for a new user after receiving answers manually input to the computer by the new user in response to predetermined demographic questions (col. 9, line 20 to col. 10, line 32), and programmed to test the validity of financial account information (fig. 12, flow chart discloses the validity of financial account information for a service usage request), PIN number (col. 5, lines 12-18) and log-on ID entries made by users (figs. 3, 9, and 11);

a video camera connected to the computer (fig. 4, element 92; fig. 5, element 170), said computer being programmed to use said video camera to provide video-conference service to the user (col. 7, lines 28-46); and

a telecommunications link adapted to connect the computer to an e-commerce intranet providing free services to the user (fig. 4; col. 1, lines 54-63), said free services including information resources and free access to selected e-commerce intranet sites and live contact with an intranet agent and live contact with an intranet agent at an e-commerce service facility (fig. 4; col. 6, line 32 to col. 7, line 6; col. 1, lines 54-63). *In other words, Jansen discloses a public access e-commerce service with a kiosk. He discloses web based public access services including a telephone, video, multimedia terminal, card reader, printer, etc. The system enables a user to make transactions for online purchases. Some services are free of charge while others require a certain fee.*

Re claim 2, Jansen teaches a second telecommunications link, said second link being a switched link, said computer being further programmed to initiate a call back over the switched link when a service selected by the user requires a fee to be paid by the user (fig. 10; col. 9, lines 51 to col. 10, line 15).

Re claim 3, Jansen teaches a paid-service indicator adjacent said card reader, said indicator connected to the computer to indicate when the computer is providing a paid service that has elapsed-time charges (figs. 4 and 12; col. 6, lines 59-65). *In other words, Jansen discloses elapsed-time charges when he discloses pause usage timer in figure 12, element 280. Furthermore, in figure 4 of element 30, he discloses a card reader.*

Re claim 4, Jansen teaches computer is programmed to provide an idle-time display including full motion video entertainment clips, said computer re-initiating the idle-time display after a user enters a valid log-on ID after the user selects a free service

and then does not make a further selection within a predetermined time period (col. 1, lines 54-67).

Re claim 5, Jansen teaches an office service unit, said computer being programmed to provide word processing services (col. 1, lines 64-67). In other word, Jansen discloses reading and writing email. When writing an email, the process requires computer being programmed to provide word processing services.

Re claim 6, Jansen teaches a laptop service unit including electric power and data connectors for use by a laptop computer (col. 1, lines 27-31). *In other words, Jansen implements his system in a personal computer and type of personal computer is a laptop.*

Re claim 7, Jansen teaches multiple carrels, said carrels being connected to a shared first link and adapted to respond to a respective separate second call-back telecommunications link to transfer user ID and PIN number information between the e-commerce service facility and the user (fig. 10; col. 9, lines 51 to col. 10, line 15; col. 5, lines 12-18).

Re claim 8, Jansen teaches means for substituting a log-on display for an idle-time display for a limited period of time (fig. 6; fig. 12, element 280). In figure 12, Jansen discloses pause usage timer (element 280) corresponds to an idle-time display.

Re claim 9, Jansen teaches means for limiting use of a free audio-visual service within a predetermined period of time (col. 7, lines 40-46; fig. 10).

Re claim 10, Jansen teaches demo means for selectively implementing free demo displays including one of said full motion video entertainment clips, said demo displays being more extensive than said clip, and means for limiting the frequency of

implementing said free demo (fig. 6). In figure 6, Jansen discloses various multimedia methods. Elements 168-180 of figure 6 enable demo means for implementing free demo.

Re claim 11, Jansen teaches a private booth adapted to enclose a user and said computer (fig. 1). *Figure 1 displays a private booth.*

Re claim 13, Jansen teaches intranet service facility provides pre-paid accounts enabling users to obtain paid intranet services (fig. 13, element 290; fig. 12, element 282).

Re claim 14, Jansen teaches intranet service facility provides pre-paid cards enabling users to obtain paid intranet services (fig. 4, element 28; 6; fig. 13, element 290; fig. 12, element 282). *In other words, Jansen discloses a multimedia system consists of pre-paid cards for web browser, vertical market, messaging services, elect commerce, entertainment, phone service, etc.*

Re claim 15, Jansen teaches intranet service facility further comprises means for providing paid e-commerce support services (fig. 4, element 28).

Re claim 17, Jansen teaches portal provides a plurality of free services to the user using a first link, and provides the log-on ID to the user and receives the log on ID and PIN number from the user by using a separate, switched second communications link (col.1, lines 45-63; col. 5, lines 14-22).

Re claims 12 and 16, Jansen teaches the limitations as claimed in claim 1. Therefore the rationale applied in the rejection of claim 1 applies herein.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Kolling et al, US patent no. 5,920,847, teaches a payment network system; Curtis, US patent no. 5,812,765, is directed to public internet access terminal with a user credit card reader;

Snelgrove, US patent No. 6,535,592, discloses telecommunication network between two end users;

Briggs et al, US patent no. 6,868,267, teaches user may be charged downloaded fee; Jacobs et al., US patent no. 5,875,110, teaches vending products; Bernstein et al., US patent no. 5,761,071, teaches browser kiosk system; and Sokal et al., US patent no. 5,953,504, teaches card reader via public accessible terminal.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thu Thao Havan whose telephone number is (571) 272-8111. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on (571) 272-6747. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct-uspto.gov/>. Should you have questions on access to the

Art Unit: 3624

Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866) 217-9197 (toll-free).

TTH

5/26/2005

VINCENT MILLIN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

